1 (Case called)

2.3

THE COURT: Counsel, please state your name for the record.

MR. COOPER: Good morning, your Honor. Richard Cooper for the government.

MS. TODD: Good morning, your Honor. Natali Todd for Mr. Ratliff, who is also present before the Court.

THE COURT: Good morning. Is there an application on behalf of the defendant?

MS. TODD: Yes, your Honor. With the Court's permission, Mr. Ratliff has authorized me to withdraw his previously entered plea of not guilty and enter a plea of guilty to Count One of the indictment, which the conspiracy count.

THE COURT: Mr. Ratliff, I have before me indictment 14 Cr. 130, which is a multiple-count indictment. We will be focusing on Count One of the indictment. There is charged a violation of Title 18, United States Code, section 1951.

You have a right to have this morning's proceeding presided over by a district judge. You may, if you wish, consent to have a magistrate judge preside at this morning's proceeding. In that connection I have before me a document which is labeled consent to proceed before a United States magistrate judge on a felony plea allocution.

Mr. Ortiz, will you swear the defendant, please.

1 (Defendant sworn)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

THE COURT: Mr. Ratliff, I want to show you the consent form about which I was speaking a moment ago. Do you recognize this document?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Did you have an opportunity to review it with your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Is there anything contained in the consent form that you do not understand?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: Do you acknowledge that it explains in greater detail what I mentioned to you a moment ago, about your right to have this proceeding presided over by a district judge, and, further, that by signing the document you are agreeing that a magistrate judge may preside at this morning's proceeding?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Is your true signature at the bottom of the consent form?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Did anyone force you to sign the document?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: Let me turn my attention to your counsel.

25 | Is your signature also on the consent form?

to Count One of the indictment, which is a felony offense, you may be giving up certainly valuable civil rights that you possess, among others the following: The right to vote, the

THE COURT: Do you understand that by pleading guilty

22

2.3

24

25

right to hold public office, the right to serve on a jury, the right to possess any type of firearm, including rifles and shotguns, the right to be considered for certain types of employment, and the right to possess or obtain certain professional licenses?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

2.3

THE COURT: I have to determine whether your plea of guilty is being made voluntarily and whether you understand fully the nature of the charge made in Count One of the indictment and the consequences of your plea, so I shall be asking you additional questions. I first want to ensure that you understand the nature of the charge made against you at Count One of the indictment.

As I indicated to you earlier, the offense cited in Count One of the indictment is alleged to be a violation of Title 18, United States Code, section 1951. It is charged in Count One of the indictment that you conspired, or agreed, with others to commit robbery, Hobbs Act robbery.

The law provides as a maximum penalty for that offense the following: Imprisonment of 20 years, a maximum term of supervised release of 3 years, a maximum fine pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, section 3571 of the greatest of \$250,000, twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the offense or twice the gross pecuniary loss to persons other than yourself resulting from the offense, a \$100 mandatory special

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25 THE COURT

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if you plead not guilty and go to trial, the burden will be upon the government to prove that you are guilty beyond a reasonable doubt?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you understand that at a trial you

the assigned district judge to sentence you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Sir, are you certain that you understand the nature of the charge to which you are pleading?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Are you certain that you understand the range of penalties, including the maximum sentence to which you are potentially subjecting yourself by your plea?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the sentencing judge may be obligated to impose a special assessment upon you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: What are the elements of the offense set forth in Count One of the indictment?

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, Hobbs Act robbery conspiracy has three elements:

First, the existence at or about the time alleged in the indictment of the conspiracy to commit robbery;

Second, that the defendant knowingly and willfully associated himself with and joined in the conspiracy; and

Third, that interstate or foreign commerce or an item moving in interstate or foreign commerce was or would have been delayed, obstructed, or affected in any way or degree.

The object of the conspiracy here is Hobbs Act robbery, which itself has four elements:

First, that the defendant obtained or took or attempted to obtain or take the property of another;

Second, that the defendant took or attempted to take the property against the victim's will by actual or threatened

force, violence, or fear of injury, whether immediate or in the future;

Third, that such actions actually or potentially in any way or degree obstructed, delayed, or affected interstate commerce; and

Fourth, that the defendant acted unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly.

Thank you

2.3

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Ratliff, having heard the elements of the offense outlined in Count One of the indictment, is it still your desire to tender a plea of guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Have you and your attorney talked about how the sentencing commission guidelines, which are advisory only, might inform the sentence to be imposed upon you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you understand that in determining the sentence to be imposed upon you, the sentencing judge is obligated to calculate the applicable sentencing guidelines range and possible departures under the sentencing guidelines?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you understand that in addition to the factors outlined in the sentencing commission guidelines, the sentencing judge will also consider factors that are set forth

at 18 U.S.C. section 3553 in determining what an appropriate sentence might be for you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Do you understand that parole has been abolished and that if you are sentenced to prison, you will not be released on parole?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the answers you give to me today under oath may in the future be used against you in a prosecution for perjury or false statement if you do not tell the truth to the Court?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Sir, do you still wish to plead guilty to Count One of indictment S3 14 Cr. 130?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Have any threats been made to you by anyone to influence you to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: Have any promises been made to you concerning the sentence that you will receive?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: I understand that you and your attorney and representatives from the government have reached certain agreements and understandings in connection with your tender of a plea of guilty and those agreements and understandings have

D7Case1914-cr-00130-LAP Document 75 Filed 08/05/14 Page 12 of 18

been reduced to a writing, specifically, a letter dated June 17, 2014, addressed to Natali Todd, your attorney. I have a copy of that document before me, which I shall show you. Do you recognize the document, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Did you have an opportunity to discuss it with your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Is there anything contained in the document that you do not understand?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: As part of the indictment, there is a forfeiture allegation associated with Count One of the indictment through which the government has indicated that it will seek to recoup from you the proceeds of illegal conduct that is associated with Count One of the indictment. Are you aware of the forfeiture allegation pertinent to Count One of the indictment being part of the indictment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: There is text in the June 17, 2014, writing about which I was speaking a moment ago through which you admit the forfeiture allegation which I drew to your attention just moments ago. Are you aware that such text is part of the June 17, 2014 writing, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: There is also in the June 17, 2014, writing an analysis of how the sentencing commission guidelines might affect the sentence to be imposed upon you. Are you aware of that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

2.3

THE COURT: Do you understand that notwithstanding the analysis of the guidelines in the June 27, 2014 writing, the impact, if any, that the sentencing commission guidelines may have on the sentence to be imposed upon you is left solely to the sentencing judge?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: There is also text in the June 17, 2014, writing which constricts your ability to appeal from or collaterally attack the judgment of conviction or sentence that might be imposed upon you. Are you aware of that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: There is also language in that June 17, 2014, the document that constricts your ability to seek a sentence modification pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, section 3582(c). Are you aware of that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Let me show you the last page of the June 17, 2014 writing. Is your true signature on that page, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

,

THE COURT: Did anyone force you to sign the document?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Would you tell me in your own words what it is that you did that makes you believe yourself guilty of the offense set forth at Count Within of indictment S3 14 Cr.

D7ሮቼቄ 1914-cr-00130-LAP Document 75 Filed 08/05/14 Page 15 of 18

1 130.

2.3

THE DEFENDANT: I agreed with others to commit a robbery on July 1, 2013, in Atlantic City, New Jersey, at the Borgata Hotel. I stole merchandise at the time I committed this crime. I know I was breaking the law.

THE COURT: The robbery that you indicated you agreed with others to commit in New Jersey to steal merchandise, where was the robbery that was the subject of that agreement? What type of establishment, if any, was it?

THE DEFENDANT: A watch store.

THE COURT: What was the merchandise to which you were making reference a few moments ago that was the subject of the robbery that you were speaking about?

THE DEFENDANT: Rolex watches.

THE COURT: What connection is there to this judicial district that prompts the grand jury to return the charge against the defendant as outlined in Count One?

MS. TODD: Your Honor, a number of overt acts in furtherance of the conspiracy took place in the Southern District of New York, including the preparation for and planning of various of the robberies, including this July 1, 2013, robbery in Atlantic City.

THE COURT: Mr. Ratliff, do you concede, as the government's representative just indicated, that there were overt acts committed in furtherance of the conspiracy described

D⁷건값을 1914-cr-00130-LAP Document 75 Filed 08/05/14 Page 16 of 18

in Count One of the indictment that occurred within the Southern District of New York?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

2.3

THE COURT: Are there any questions the government would have me put to the defendant?

MR. COOPER: Nothing. Thank you, your Honor.

Your Honor, I would note for the record that at trial the government would establish that the watches that were taken in connection with the robbery in Atlantic City had moved in interstate commerce, so interstate commerce was affected or delayed.

THE COURT: Ms. Todd, are you aware of any reason why your client should not plead guilty?

MS. TODD: I am not, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is the government aware of any reason why the defendant should not plead guilty?

MR. COOPER: We are not, your Honor.

THE COURT: If the matter were to proceed to trial, what evidence would the government offer in support of the charge made at Count One of the indictment?

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, at trial the government would introduce evidence including the testimony of law enforcement witnesses who investigated the robbery conspiracy in this Atlantic City jewelry store robbery. We would introduce the testimony of the victims of the robbery as well as physical

D7Case 1914-cr-00130-LAP Document 75 Filed 08/05/14 Page 17 of 18

evidence, including the defendant's DNA which was retrieved from the scene of the robbery.

THE COURT: Thank you.

I am satisfied that Mr. Ratliff understands the nature of the charge made against him in Count One of the indictment.

I am also satisfied that his plea is being made voluntarily and knowingly and that there is a factual basis for the plea. I shall report and recommend to the assigned district judge that the plea be accepted.

I am going to direct that a pre-sentence report be prepared prior to the date of sentence. I am going to fix a sentencing date. The parties are to contact the assigned district judge to determine whether that date is agreeable to his calendar. I shall fix the 7th day of October 2014 as the sentencing date.

In connection with the preparation of the pre-sentence report, the government should provide its case summary to the probation department within 14 days, and the defendant and his counsel should make arrangements to be interviewed by the probation department within 14 days. I shall direct the government to obtain a transcript of the minutes generated during this proceeding and present same to the assigned district judge before the date of sentence.

Is there any request with respect to bail conditions?

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, the defendant is currently

| | D7Case 1914-cr-00130-LAP Document 75 Filed 08/05/14 Page 18 of 18 |
|----|---|
| 1 | detained. The government would request a continuation of |
| 2 | detention. |
| 3 | THE COURT: What is the defendant's position on the |
| 4 | application? |
| 5 | MS. TODD: No objection. |
| 6 | THE COURT: The application is granted. Is there |
| 7 | anything else that we need to address? |
| 8 | MR. COOPER: No. Thank you, your Honor. |
| 9 | MS. TODD: Thank you, your Honor. Nothing from the |
| 10 | defense. |
| 11 | (Adjourned) |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |

24

25